UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,179	12/06/2003	Yehuda Azenkot	034704-000049	8590
	7590 12/11/2007		EXAM	INER
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP Robert E. Krebs P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640			JUNTIMA, NITTAYA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

K
<b>,</b>

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/729,179	AZENKOT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nittaya Juntima	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 No	ovember 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	,				
Disposition of Claims		.`			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in response to the Response to Election/Restriction filed on 11/1/2007.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are pending, claims 3-24 were withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- in claim 1, lines 2-3, 9, "upstream channels or logical channels" should be changed to "upstream channels" (or "upstream logical channels" to be consistent with the specification on page 2, lines 11-13) since only upstream channels are referenced to on lines 10s, 13 of claim 1 and on line 6 of claim 2;

line 4, "of CM" should be changed to "of CMs";

line 5, "to a a cable" should be changed to "to a cable";

lines 12 and 13, "modems" should be changed to "CMs" for consistency purposes.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number:

10/729,179

Art Unit: 2616

Page 3

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Grimwood (US

6,459,703 B1).

Regarding claim 1, as shown in Fig. 2A, Grimwood teaches a process, comprising:

1) Gathering data about each cable modem (CM) in a group of CMs coupled to a

cable modem termination system (CMTS) through a distributed transmission system (Fig. 1).

Step 50 shows that upstream bandwidth requests from both DOCSIS 1.0 and 1.2 modems are

received at CMTS (col. 9, lines 52-55).

2) Dividing said group of CMs up into logical groups based upon CM type (step 50,

CMTS uses SIDs in bandwidth requests to divide modems into TDAM group and SCDMA

group, col. 9, lines 55-58).

3) Creating an upstream channel on said distributed transmission system for each

logical group of CMs, each upstream channel having transmission characteristics optimized for a

particular logical group of CMs (step 56, an upstream logical channel is created for each group

of TDMA and SCDMA modems using a UCD message that defines the symbol rate, the center

frequency and controlling for each type of TDMA and SCDMA modems, col. 10, lines 31-37).

4) Assigning the CMs in each logical group to the upstream channel created for that

logical group (step 56, the UCD messages assign the modems to the appropriate upstream logical

channels based on the modem type, col. 10, lines 37-42).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

Application/Control Number:

10/729,179

Art Unit: 2616

Page 4

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nittaya Juntima whose telephone number is 571-272-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M - 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nittaya Juntima December 7, 2007

M

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600